August 27, 2013

The Honorable Judith T. Won Pat, Ed.D.
Speaker
32nd Guam Legislature
I Mina’ Tenta’ Uno Na Liheslaturan Guahan
155 Hesler Place
Hagåtña, Guam 96910

Subject: National Register of Historic Places Nominations: Fena (Mepu') Latte; Lumuna Shell Trumpet; Fonte Dam; Sergeant Shoichi Yokoi Collection

Håfa Adai. We are pleased to inform you that the Fena (Mepu') Latte, located at Senator Angel L.G. Santos Latte Park, will be considered by the Guam Historic Preservation Review Board for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The NRHP is the National official list of historic properties and sites worthy of preservation. Listing in the NRHP provides recognition and protection of properties and sites with significance in the history, culture, architecture, and archaeology of Guam. Primarily, it recognizes the historic importance of the property and does not prevent its continued use.

The nomination process provides an opportunity for public scrutiny and comment, as well as due care in the evaluation of such properties for inclusion in the NRHP. Additional information and guidelines applicable to places listed in this Register are available at the Guam Historic Resources Division, Department of Parks and Recreation, or can be accessed at www.historicguam.org.

You or your representatives are invited to attend the Guam Historic Preservation Review Board meeting at which the nomination will be discussed. The Board will meet at 4:00 pm, Thursday, September 26, 2013, at the Department of Parks and Recreation Conference Room.

Attached is a notice that explains the results of listing in the NRHP which also describes the rights and procedures of an interested party who may comment on, or object to, listing in the National Register.

Should you have any questions about this nomination before the Guam Historic Preservation Review meeting, please contact Ms. Lynda B. Aguon, State Historic Preservation Officer, at 475-6294 or 474-6335. Si Yu’os Ma’äse’.

Sincerely,

Raymond F.Y. Blas
Director

Enclosures
United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in National Register Bulletin, How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions.

1. Name of Property
   Historic name: Fena (Mepo') Latte
   Other names/site number: Fena (Mepo') Latte at Senator Angel L.G. Santos Latte Park
   / 66-01-2107
   Name of related multiple property listing:
   N/A
   (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing)

2. Location
   Street & number: ____________________________
   City or town: ____________________________ State: ____________________________ County: ____________________________
   Not For Publication: [ ] Vicinity: [ ]

3. State/Federal Agency Certification
   As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended,
   I hereby certify that this __ nomination ___ request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60.
   In my opinion, the property ___ meets ____ does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance:
   X national X statewide X local
   Applicable National Register Criteria:
   X A ___B ___C X D

   ______________________________________________________________________
   Signature of certifying official/Title: ____________________________ Date: ____________________________

   ______________________________________________________________________
   State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government

   In my opinion, the property ___ meets ____ does not meet the National Register criteria.

   ______________________________________________________________________
   Signature of commenting official: ____________________________ Date: ____________________________

   ______________________________________________________________________
   Title: ____________________________ State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government

1
4. National Park Service Certification

I hereby certify that this property is:

- [ ] entered in the National Register
- [ ] determined eligible for the National Register
- [ ] determined not eligible for the National Register
- [ ] removed from the National Register
- [ ] other (explain: )

---

Signature of the Keeper

Date of Action

5. Classification

Ownership of Property

(Check as many boxes as apply.)

Private:

[ ]

Public – Local

[ ]

Public – State

x

Public – Federal

[ ]

Category of Property

(Check only one box.)

Building(s)

[ ]

District

[ ]

Site

[ ]

Structure

x

Object

[ ]
### Number of Resources within Property

(Do not include previously listed resources in the count)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing</th>
<th>Noncontributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register

---

6. **Function or Use**

#### Historic Functions

(Enter categories from instructions.)

---

DOMESTIC: single dwelling = residence

---

### Current Functions

(Enter categories from instructions.)

---
7. Description

Architectural Classification
(Enter categories from instructions.)

OTHER: Ancient Chamorro - Latte Period

Materials: (enter categories from instructions.)
Principal exterior materials of the property: Stone

Narrative Description
(Describe the historic and current physical appearance and condition of the property. Describe contributing and noncontributing resources if applicable. Begin with a summary paragraph that briefly describes the general characteristics of the property, such as its location, type, style, method of construction, setting, size, and significant features. Indicate whether the property has historic integrity.)

Summary Paragraph

The eight latte set located at Senator Angel L.G. Santos Latte Park in Hagåtña, are one of the most photographed iconic symbols of contemporary Guam. Transported there in 1955, they originally were in the ancient village site of Mepo', in the Talofofo area.

They are over seven feet in height. The bases or uprights (haligi) are more square in cross-section with caps (taza) comparatively large in proportion to the bases. The diameter of each cap where it joins the top of the base is about half the diameter at the cap’s widest points. The latte of Mepo’ are composed of hard island rock, probably sandstone and limestone materials. There are two parallel rows of four stones each, for a total of eight latte, from what was originally a 12-stone set. Four were destroyed during postwar World War II re-construction, (Tolentino, Domenica. Guampedia: accessed Aug. 10, 2013). Although the set is missing 4 stones, it still has retains its historic significance and historic integrity.
Easily accessible, visited by thousands of island students and foreign tourists every year, the Fena Latte set at the park has an iconic value that contributes to the proper understanding of Guam and its ancient past.

**Narrative Description**

Different authors have provided a good amount of information on the use of latte in general, and the importance of the Fena area in particular:

Latte are the distinctive stone pillars used in prehistoric times in the Mariana Islands. They usually are positioned parallel to the ocean or river streams, but not always. In northern Guam they were mostly aligned perpendicular to the ocean (Cunningham 1992:54). The current terms to refer to the two parts of the Latte, date from historic times: *haligi* (from the Tagalog word incorporated into Spanish and Chamorro, *arigue*, to refer to a vertical beam made of wood) and *tasa* (from the Spanish word, incorporated into Chamorro, *taza*, cup).

The *haligi* is usually narrowed upwards. It could be made of limestone, basalt or sandstone. is normally hewn from coral limestone extracted from quarries through burning of surrounding limestone. The *tasa* is an hemispheric capstone placed on top of the *haligi*, with the flat side facing upwards.

The ancient village of Mepo' was likely to have been abandoned as a result of the Spanish *Reduccion*, during the late 17th Century military conquest. The whole of Fena was particularly rich in Latte sets, up to an estimated number of around 400, making the area a unique zone with particular relevance for the knowledge of the ancient living conditions of pre-historic Guam. Considering that Fena must have been an area with a high degree of human habitation its consistent with other inland areas in Guam having a dense record of habitation, resulting of a population growth that could be associated with the spread of rice production (Butler, 1997). Agriculture must have extended into upland hill slopes and valleys, as evidenced by the increase of savanna pollen in sediment cores (Athens and Ward in Dixon et al. 1999).

In 1932, the latte of Mepo' were identified by archaeologist (Thompson, 1932:14) and apparently were removed in the construction of the entrance spur for Magazine 173 in the Naval Ammunition Depot, the very large stones heaped on either side of the entrance. (Osborne ms. 1947).
It originally consisted of two parallel rows, each of six stone columns and caps. The foundation seems to have supported a wooden platform whose outermost dimensions might have been 16x60 feet (Morgan 1988:127).

They are tall, over seven feet in height. The bases (haliigi) are more square in cross-section with caps (taza) comparatively large in proportion to the bases. The diameter of each cap where it joins the top of the base is about half the diameter at the cap’s widest points. The latte of Mepo are composed of hard island rock, probably sandstone. Thompson believed the rock was quarried at least a mile from where the uprights were situated. (Tolentino, Domenica. Guampedia: accessed Aug. 10, 2013)

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- B. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- C. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- D. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark “x” in all the boxes that apply.)

- A. Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes
- B. Removed from its original location
C. A birthplace or grave

D. A cemetery

E. A reconstructed building, object, or structure

F. A commemorative property

G. Less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years

Areas of Significance
(Enter categories from instructions.)

ARCHITECTURE

CONSERVATION

ETHNIC HERITAGE

Period of Significance

800AD-1700CE

Significant Dates


Significant Person
(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above.)

Cultural Affiliation
Statement of Significance Summary Paragraph (Provide a summary paragraph that includes level of significance, applicable criteria, justification for the period of significance, and any applicable criteria considerations.)

The cultural affiliation is Ancient Chamorro. The inhabitants of the Mariana Islands Archipelago are believed to have first settled the islands 4,000 years ago, transmigrating from an indeterminate area in Southeast Asia, probably Taiwan, the Visayan Islands in the Philippines, or Indonesia.

The period of significance of the latte construction extends from the Latte Period c. 800 – 1700 CE.

Narrative Statement of Significance (Provide at least one paragraph for each area of significance.)

Architecture
The Latte are a most distinctive feature of the dwelling construction of the ancient Mariana Islands. Other uses of the Latte have been considered, such as territorial markers (Hunter-Anderson, 1994). The uniqueness of the Latte within the context of the rest of the Pacific islands, makes it particularly relevant as symbol of the distinct cultural affiliation of the ancient inhabitants of the Marianas and their contemporary descendants.

Conservation
The fact that the latte set of the Park were not only removed from its original site of Mepu', but also reconstructed in a more accessible and visible location, serve as testimony of their
Importance. Currently distributed across different areas of Guam, any Latte that originates from the Fena area has particular relevance for contemporary inhabitants of Guam, inasmuch as it allows maintaining connection with the historic landscape of Guam, its past, and the identity of its people.

**Ethnic Heritage**

Several houses and villages were burned down during the Spanish conquest. Consequently, latte were discontinued after the Reducccion, at the end of the 16th Century, when new technologies and construction tools were available. With the dramatic depopulation caused by the diseases and epidemics, the trauma of the displacement and the armed combats, most of the inland areas of Guam were abandoned. However, it has been recorded that the Christianized Chamorro people of Guam continued to relate with the latte sites in terms of respect and reverence. In the early 20th century, in the German-administered Northern Mariana Islands, newly-constructed Latte pillars were used as symbols of authority by the German administrators (Russell, 1998). In contemporary times, the Latte is the symbol that most clearly connects the whole archipelago of the Marianas, its people, and its past.

9. **Major Bibliographical References**

**Bibliography** (Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form.)


Sections 9-end page 9


Previous documentation on file (NPS):

___ preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested
___ previously listed in the National Register
___ previously determined eligible by the National Register
___ designated a National Historic Landmark
___ recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey #
___ recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #
___ recorded by Historic American Landscape Survey #

Primary location of additional data:

___ State Historic Preservation Office
___ Other State agency
___ Federal agency
___ Local government
___ University
___ Other
Name of repository: ____________________________________________

Historic Resources Survey Number (if assigned): ___ N/A_______

10. Geographical Data
Acreage of Property

Use either the UTM system or latitude/longitude coordinates

**Latitude/Longitude Coordinates**
Datum if other than WGS84: __________
(enter coordinates to 6 decimal places)
1. Latitude: Longitude:
2. Latitude: Longitude:
3. Latitude: Longitude:
4. Latitude: Longitude:

Or
**UTM References**
Datum (indicated on USGS map):

☐ NAD 1927 or ☐ NAD 1983

1. Zone: Easting: Northing:
2. Zone: Easting: Northing:
3. Zone: Easting: Northing:
4. Zone: Easting: Northing:

**Verbal Boundary Description** (Describe the boundaries of the property.)
Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected.)

11. Form Prepared By

name/title: Carlos Madrid, Ph.D., Research Associate / Assistant Professor  
organization: MARC, University of Guam  
street & number: UOG Station  
city or town: Mangilao  
state: Guam  
zip code: 96923  
e-mail: cmadrid@uguam.uog.edu  
television:  
date: August 24, 2013

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

- Maps: A USGS map or equivalent (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property’s location.

- Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources. Key all photographs to this map.

- Additional items: (Check with the SHPO, TPO, or FPO for any additional items.)

Photographs

Submit clear and descriptive photographs. The size of each image must be 1600x1200 pixels (minimum), 3000x2000 preferred, at 300 ppi (pixels per inch) or larger. Key all photographs to the sketch map. Each photograph must be numbered and that number must correspond to the photograph number on the photo log. For simplicity, the name of the photographer, photo date, etc. may be listed once on the photograph log and doesn’t need to be labeled on every photograph.
Fena (Mepe) Latte
Name of Property

Photo Log

Name of Property: Fena Latte

City or Vicinity: Hagåtña; Andersen Air Force Base, Yigo; Nimitz Hill, Asan; Inarajan; Agana Heights

County: State: GU

Photographer: Carlos Madrid

Date Photographed: August 23, 2013

Description of Photograph(s) and number, include description of view indicating direction of camera:

1 of 6: Senator Angel L.G. Santos Latte Park, Hagåtña

2 of 6: Senator Angel L.G. Santos Latte Park, Hagåtña

3 of 6: Andersen Air Force Base

4 of 6: Inarajan

5 of 6: Nimitz Hill

6 of 6: Agana Heights
SITE NO 66-01-2107
NAME: LATTE PARK
AGANA, GUAM

N 13 28 20 / E 144 45 06
CENTER LAT/LONG
256516E/1490552N _ UTM NW
256646E/1490493N _ UTM NE
256580E/1490442N _ UTM SE
256502E/1490520N _ UTM SW

GUAM 2011 SATELITE IMAGERY _ BASE MAP
(USGS AGANA QUADRANGLE MAP)
guam_wv2_rgb_ps_02052011_v1 lut.sld _ MAP TITLE
D WGS 1984 _ DATUM

REQUESTED BY: SA
REQUESTING DEPT/ETC: DPR GHRD

PREPARED BY / DATE: GISMT 8/27/13
DEPT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
HISTORIC RESOURCES DIVISION
SITE NO. 66-01-2107
NAME: LATTE PARK
AGANA, GUAM
PHOTO 1 of 6. LATTE from FENA: Senator Angel L.G. Santos Latte Park, Hagåtña
August 23, 2013. Photographer: Carlos Madrid
PHOTO 3 of 6. LATTE from FENA: Andersen Air Force Base, Guam
Located across from Bowling Alley, corner of Chicago Avenue and OMalley Ave.
PHOTO 5 of 6. LATTE from FENA. Location: Nimitz Hill, Guam
PHOTO 6 of 6  LATTE from FENA: Government House, Agana Heights
August 23, 2013. Photographer: Carlos Madrid
National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in National Register Bulletin, How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions.

1. Name of Property
   Historic name: Lumuna Shell Trumpet
   Other names/site number: I Kilo' or Charonia tritonis Shell Trumpet / 66-07-1657F
   Name of related multiple property listing: N/A
   (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing)

2. Location
   Street & number: Guam Museum, Department of Chamorro Affairs, 238 Archbishop Flores Street, 2nd Floor, DNA Building
   City or town: Hagåtña, State: GU, County: 010
   Not For Publication: N/A
   Vicinity: N/A

3. State/Federal Agency Certification
   As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended,
   I hereby certify that this nomination request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60.
   In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance:
   ___ national  X statewide  X local
   Applicable National Register Criteria:
   X A  _B  X C  _D

   ____________________________
   Signature of certifying official/Title: ____________________________
   ____________________________
   Date ____________________________
   ____________________________
   State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government

   In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the National Register criteria.
   ____________________________
   Signature of commenting official: ____________________________
   ____________________________
   Date ____________________________
   ____________________________
   Title: State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government
4. National Park Service Certification

I hereby certify that this property is:

___ entered in the National Register
___ determined eligible for the National Register
___ determined not eligible for the National Register
___ removed from the National Register
___ other (explain:) ____________________________

__________________________________________
Signature of the Keeper
__________________________________________
Date of Action

5. Classification

Ownership of Property

(Check as many boxes as apply.)
Private: [ ]
Public – Local [ ]
Public – State [x]
Public – Federal [ ]

Category of Property

(Check only one box.)
Building(s) [ ]
District [ ]
Site [ ]
Structure [ ]
Object [x]
**United States Department of the Interior**
**National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form**
**NPS Form 10-900**
**OMN No. 1024-0018**

Lumuna Shell Trumpet
Name of Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Resources within Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Do not include previously listed resources in the count)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register __________

---

**6. Function or Use**
**Historic Functions**
(Enter categories from instructions.)

- RELIGION/not applicable = ceremonial device
- OTHER/communication = communication device

---

**Current Functions**
(Enter categories from instructions.)

- RECREATION AND CULTURE/museum = museum
7. Description

Architectural Classification
(Enter categories from instructions.)

N/A

Materials: (enter categories from instructions.)
Principal exterior materials of the property: __________________________

Narrative Description
(Describe the historic and current physical appearance and condition of the property. Describe contributing and noncontributing resources if applicable. Begin with a summary paragraph that briefly describes the general characteristics of the property, such as its location, type, style, method of construction, setting, size, and significant features. Indicate whether the property has historic integrity.)

Summary Paragraph

The Lumuna (*Charonia tritonis*) Shell Trumpet was found in 2011 and is currently housed in the Guam Museum, Department of Chamorro Affairs. The shell measures 30.4 cm long and weighs 575.5 grams (Amesbury: 2011). With the exception of a missing portion in the apex, as seen in the picture, this trumpet shell, *I Kilo*, retains its historic integrity evidenced by its association with Guam’s prehistoric and historic period. It is in excellent condition, in spite that it seemingly was laid in the open ground for an undetermined amount of time. (DeFant 2011: Guam Historic Properties Data Form)

Trumpet shells were made once the mollusk was removed and the empty shell was thoroughly dry. A hole was carved in the narrower part of the shell, close to its center. Blowing in it circulated the air inside, producing a deep, horn-like sound.
Narrative Description

This artifact was found 10 meters east of the western boundary of Land Lot 7027-5, Lumuna, Yigo, Guam. It was discovered by archaeological technician Joel Lukan, of the Micronesian Area Research Services (MARS) during an archaeological inventory survey in 2011. The survey was in response to a requirement imposed by the Guam State Historic Preservation Office to conduct the survey before the company Smithbridge Guam Inc., expanded their quarry operations another 23.5 acres.

Upon examination by Archaeologist Judy Amesbury, the Lumuna Trumpet Shell was found to have a diameter that varies slightly from 2.5 to 2.6 cm. The apex of the shell is damaged, and there is a break in the shell in the fourth body whorl from the apex. The maximum dimensions of the break are 9 cm by 6 cm. (Amesbury, 2011:1)

The use of trumpet shells appears to have been prevalent and dispersed throughout the Pacific Region, from Melanesia, Polynesia and Micronesia, and across southeast Asia, such as the Philippine Islands, Indonesia and Malaysia.

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria
(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- [x] A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- [ ] B. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- [x] C. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- [ ] D. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations
(Mark “x” in all the boxes that apply.)

☐ A. Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes
☐ B. Removed from its original location
☐ C. A birthplace or grave
☐ D. A cemetery
☐ E. A reconstructed building, object, or structure
☐ F. A commemorative property
☐ G. Less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years

Areas of Significance
(Enter categories from instructions.)

________________________________________
________________________________________
________________________________________
________________________________________
________________________________________
________________________________________

Period of Significance
800AD to 1944

________________________________________

Significant Dates
800AD-1695

________________________________________

Significant Person
(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above.)

________________________________________
________________________________________
Cultural Affiliation

Chamorro Culture

Architect/Builder

N/A

Statement of Significance Summary Paragraph (Provide a summary paragraph that includes level of significance, applicable criteria, justification for the period of significance, and any applicable criteria considerations.)

The Lumuna Trumpet Shell is significant under Criterion A since it possesses “integrity of association with the tradition cultural practice of shell trumpet use” (DeFant 2011:24); and also under Criterion C, because it embodies a distinctive characteristics of a type and period in Guam history.

Archaeological findings have shown that trumpet shells were in used as early as the 800AD, during the early Latte Period of the Prehistory of the Mariana Islands. Trumpet Shells were used for “inter-village communication” (DeFant 2011:23), sounding for war and peace, or as expression of grief during a burial (Scott Russell 1998:212).

Period of Significance.

Besides its use in the early latte period, historic references and oral testimonies in Guam refer to its continuous use up to the mid 1940s. Former resident of the Apra area, Mrs. Degracia (Personal Communication to the author, August 1, 2013), stated that in the immediate years before WWII, I Kilo’ was usually blown to call for the prayer at the Santa Cruz del Atantano, (Atantano Shrine). Reference of its use in the last years of the 1930s is found in the Merizo Village Journal of Jesus C. Barcinas, cited by anthropologist Laura Thompson (Thompson 1947:328), “when the conch shell was blown and the church bell was rung due to a fire on a hill” that was heading towards the village.

In the first decades of the 19th Century, French explorer Freycinet recorded its existence in Guam in the time of his visit, in March 1819 (Glynn Barrat ed.: 2003). The navigators of the Spanish galleon San Jeronimo, in 1567, recorded seeing Chamorro people on board of outrigger canoes, and “blowing in a sea shell like a horn”, as they surrounded their vessel (Levesque vol.2: 324).

The Kilo’ connects the culture of the Mariana Islands not only within its own regional context, but across different historic times. It is one of the items that most clearly epitomizes the continuity of the Chamorro people as legitimate inheritors of the ancient and traditional customs of Guam and the Mariana Islands.
Narrative Statement of Significance (Provide at least one paragraph for each area of significance.)

Criterion A: The Lumuna Trumpet Shell is significant under Criterion A because it possesses "integrity of association with the traditional cultural practice of shell trumpet use" (DeFant 2011:24). It is a communication device that was largely important in "sounding off" information to the village communities, thus prompting the villagers to react and respond in the appropriate manner required of them.

Criterion C: The Lumuna Trumpet Shell is significant under Criterion C because it embodies a distinctive characteristics of a type and period in Guam history.

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography (Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form.)


DeFant, David G. (2011), Lumuna Shell Trumpet Site. Guam Historic Properties Inventory Data Form. Guam Historic Resources Division, Department of Parks and Recreation.

Degracia. Personal Communication to Author and to Ms. Rita Nauta, August 1, 2013.


**Previous documentation on file (NPS):**

- preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested
- previously listed in the National Register
- previously determined eligible by the National Register
- designated a National Historic Landmark
- recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey #
- recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #
- recorded by Historic American Landscape Survey #

**Primary location of additional data:**

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Federal agency
- Local government
- University
- Other
  - Name of repository: ____________________________

**Historic Resources Survey Number (if assigned):** N/A

10. **Geographical Data**

**Acreage of Property** N/A

Use either the UTM system or latitude/longitude coordinates

**Latitude/Longitude Coordinates**

Datum if other than WGS84: ______________

(enter coordinates to 6 decimal places)

1. Latitude: ____________________ Longitude: ____________________

2. Latitude: ____________________ Longitude: ____________________
Name of Property

3. Latitude: Longitude:

4. Latitude: Longitude:

Or

UTM References
Datum (indicated on USGS map):

☐ NAD 1927 or ☐ NAD 1983

1. Zone: Easting: Northing:

2. Zone: Easting: Northing:

3. Zone: Easting: Northing:

4. Zone: Easting: Northing:

Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property.)

Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected.)

11. Form Prepared By

name/title: Carlos Madrid, Ph.D., Research Associate/Assistant Professor
organization: MARC, University of Guam
street & number: UOG station

city or town: Mangilao state: Guam zip code: 96923

e-mail: cmadrid@uog.edu telephone: 735-2154

date: August 15, 2013

Additional Documentation
Submit the following items with the completed form:

- **Maps:** A USGS map or equivalent (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.

- **Sketch map** for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources. Key all photographs to this map.

- **Additional items:** (Check with the SHPO, TPO, or FPO for any additional items.)

**Photographs**

Submit clear and descriptive photographs. The size of each image must be 1600x1200 pixels (minimum), 3000x2000 preferred, at 300 ppi (pixels per inch) or larger. Key all photographs to the sketch map. Each photograph must be numbered and that number must correspond to the photograph number on the photo log. For simplicity, the name of the photographer, photo date, etc. may be listed once on the photograph log and doesn’t need to be labeled on every photograph.

**Photo Log**

Name of Property: Land Lot 7027-5

City or Vicinity: Lumuna, Yigo

County: NA  
State: Guam

Photographer: Judy R. Amesbury (Micronesian Area Research Services)

Date Photographed: May 2011.

Description of Photograph(s) and number, include description of view indicating direction of camera:

1 of _1._

**Paperwork Reduction Act Statement:** This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C.460 et seq.).

**Estimated Burden Statement:** Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 100 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Office of Planning and Performance Management, U.S. Dept. of the Interior, 1849 C. Street, NW, Washington, DC.
### National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in National Register Bulletin, How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter “N/A” for “not applicable.” For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional certification comments, entries, and narrative items on continuation sheets if needed (NPS Form 10-900a).

#### 1. Name of Property

- **historic name**: Fonte River Dam
- **other names/site number**: Libugon Dam/ no. 66-01-2655

#### 2. Location

- **street & number**: N/A
- **city or town**: Libugon/Nimitz Hill
- **state**: Guam
- **code**: GU
- **county**: N/A
- **code**: 010
- **zip code**: 96910

#### 3. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, I hereby certify that this nomination request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60.

In my opinion, the property meets or does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance:

- **national**: X
- **statewide**: 
- **local**: 

Signature of certifying official: ____________________________

Title: ____________________________

State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government: ____________________________

Date: ____________________________

In my opinion, the property meets or does not meet the National Register criteria.

Signature of commenting official: ____________________________

Title: ____________________________

State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government: ____________________________

Date: ____________________________

#### 4. National Park Service Certification

I, hereby, certify that this property is:

- **entered in the National Register**: 
- **determined eligible for the National Register**: 
- **determined not eligible for the National Register**: 
- **removed from the National Register**: 
- **other (explain)**: 

Signature of the Keeper: ____________________________

Date of Action: ____________________________
# 5. Classification

## Ownership of Property
(Check as many boxes as apply)

| Option         | 
|----------------|---|
| Private        |   |
| Public - Local |   |
| Public - State |   |
| Public - Federal |   |

## Category of Property
(Check only one box)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Number of Resources within Property
(Do not include previously listed resources in the count.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
<th>Noncontributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Name of related multiple property listing
(Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing)

N/A

## Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register
N/A

# 6. Function or Use

## Historic Functions
(Enter categories from instructions)

Industry/Processing/Extraction: Waterworks: Dam

## Current Functions
(Enter categories from instructions)

N/A

# 7. Description

## Architectural Classification
(Enter categories from instructions)

Late 19th and Early 20th Century American

## Materials
(Enter categories from instructions)

| Material          | 
|-------------------|---|
| Foundation        | Concrete |
| Walls             |         |
| Roof              |         |
| Other             | Brick, steel, cast iron |
The Fonte Dam is built in the upper third of the Fonte River drainage where the river flows generally eastward. The land slopes steeply upwards to both the north and south of the dam. Tropical tree and shrub vegetation characterizes the north-slope while grasses are more predominant on the south slope. Trees and shrubs form a distinct riparian zone downstream from the dam. The dam impounds a very small pond on its upstream side.

The dam is principally poured concrete and trends roughly North-South. Two-hundred cubic yards of concrete were used in its construction. Its finished dimensions were 150 feet long, 24 feet high, 17 feet thick at the base, and 4 feet thick at the top. The complete length is currently obscured by colluvial wash and secondary growth. The spillway was finished with red brick, while the spillway retaining walls were constructed of red brick and then covered with concrete. (Moore et al. 2001: 75)

The dam is basically intact, and the spillway continues to operate, although the dam no longer functions to contribute to the domestic water supply of Hagåtña. There is minor damage to concrete facings, particularly along the top of the dam in the vicinity of the valve box. Steel and wood associated with housings, valves, the access walkway, and the water distribution system are missing or rusting in place. The bulk of the former reservoir (originally measured at 650 feet long by 150 feet wide) has in-filled since the dam was abandoned (sometime during or just after WWII).

The following description is taken directly from the document entitled: Historic American Engineering Record, U.S. Naval Activities, Nimitz Hill, Fonte River Dam HAER No. GU-4

"This dam is located in a densely vegetated valley of the Fonte River, which runs between Nimitz Hill and Agana Heights. Access to the dam is by foot trail only. The river is really more like a stream. The red frick of the dam spillway contrasts with the lush green setting. The concrete portions of the dam are weathered grey or covered with vegetation, and so blend in with the surroundings.

The measurements for the dam were given, in a 1910 newsletter, as 150' long, 24' high, 17' thick at the base, 4' thick at the top, and comprised of 200 cubic yards of concrete (Moore et al. 2001: 75). According to a U.S. Navy document, the surface of the spillway is finished with 37,000 paving bricks (Ibid). The ogee-curved spillway measures 16'-0" wide, between the similarly curved retaining walls. The spillway retaining walls are also built of red brick, but are topped with concrete.

A 1909 plan of the dam showed that the basin behind it was about 650' long (from east to west) and 150' wide (Ibid). The basin has now silted in and the edges are hidden by vegetation."
To the north and south of the brick spillway, the 4' wide dam walls are used as concrete walkways. Metal posts about 4' fall and 2" in diameter, spaced at fairly equal intervals, are located along the sides of each walkway. Metal chains originally ran between the metal poles as handrails, but only remnants are present.

Projecting from the top of the spillway and running alongside the northern spillway retaining wall is a broken cast iron pipe, about 6' in diameter. Since it is not on the original drawings, it appears to have been added after the dam was completed.

On the west face of the north side is a sluice control box, measuring 6'-7" x 5'-1½" with 12"-thick concrete walls. The valves are labeled “Chapman Valve / MFG CO. (in a banner across an interlaced C and V) / Boston U.S.A.” The two gate valves in the sluice box project approximately 3' above the concrete box. They tapered from a 12" diameter at the base to a 4" diameter at the top.

A commemorative plaque on the east face of the north wing of the dam indicates the dam was completed in 1910 [however, research suggests a 1911 date of completion; see history section below]. The plaque on the dam has the following inscription:

```
FONTE RIVER DAM
1910
W. H. TAFT
PRESIDENT OF THE U.S.
GEO. VON L. MEYER
SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
CAPT. E. J. DORN, U.S.N.
GOVERNOR OF GUAM
DESIGNED BY L. M. COX, CIVIL ENGINEER, U.S.N.
ASST CIVIL ENGINEER, R. M. WARFIELD, U.S.N.
IN CHARGE OF WORK
COMPLETED BY C.A. BOSTROM
ASST CIVIL ENGINEER, U.S.N.
```

There is a concrete pad on the north bank of the Fonte River just below the dam. It measures about 4' x 5' and is approximately 3' high. Steps abut the north side and an electrical conduit and wire are on the top of the pad. This was apparently the base of a pump, to send water uphill. Portions of 6" diameter piping, and concrete supports for it are still in place uphill of this pad. The pipes are labeled “Rensselaer Valve Co., Troy, N.Y. 6:36.”

Strafing marks from World War II, including bullet holes on the east facing surface, can be seen. On the south side of the dam, Japanese soldiers’ items, such as gas mask parts and mess kits were located (Ibid).
8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria
(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing)

A Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.

B Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.

C Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.

D Property has yielded or is likely to yield information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations
(Mark "x" in all the boxes that apply)

Property is:

A owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.

B removed from its original location.

C a birthplace or grave.

D a cemetery.

E a reconstructed building, object, or structure.

F a commemorative property.

G less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years.

Areas of Significance
(Enter categories from instructions)

Community Planning and Development

Engineering

Health and Medicine

Industry

Period of Significance
1910-1947

Significant Dates
1910-1919

Significant Person
(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above)

Cultural Affiliation

Architect/Builder

Period of Significance (justification)
The Dam was completed in 1910 and was the first water facility constructed by the U.S. administration. The U.S. National Archives contains a 1947 photo of the dam being inspected, the assumption being that it was still in use.

Criteria Considerations (explanation, if necessary)

N/A
Fonte Dam was the first construction related to the modern water system for the city of Hagåtña. It represented the largest government engineering effort that directly benefitted the local population. The dam is no longer in use, but remains in place and is in very good condition.

Community Planning and Development: Fonte Dam water was distributed throughout the city by a network of main lines, making it possible for individual households to pipe water directly to homes for the first time. In addition, fire-hydrants were installed along these lines providing both fresh water and protection during fires.

Engineering: Fonte Dam was the culmination of the work of a number of engineers who were stationed on Guam during the early American Period. Leonard M. Cox, Civil Engineer, identified the location for the dam and provided the original design; R. M. Warfield, Assistant Civil Engineer saw the dam through its construction; and Assistant Civil Engineer C. A. Bostrom oversaw its completion. A number of difficulties had to be overcome to construct the dam, not the least of which was the construction of a road from Hagåtña to the dam site, and the construction of a steam engine on the hill above the site to run a bucket cable that moved materials from the staging area to the dam site.

Health and Medicine: Water from the Fonte Dam replaced the often contaminated water being obtained by the residents of Hagåtña from shallow wells. This greatly improved the health of the city’s inhabitants and allowed the island population to begin to expand.

Industry: In the 1917 publication, The Island of Guam, which was a revision of a manuscript first penned by Civil Engineer L. M. Cox, the provision of clean water to Hagåtña that was made possible by the Fonte Dam was considered a major achievement of the American administration. Among the services managed and provided by the administration were medical facilities, sewerage systems, and fresh water delivery.

Developmental history/additional historic context information

After the US takeover of the island in 1898 water systems were assessed and found to be inadequate for the development of the island. At the time, the majority of the population obtained their water from springs and hand-dug wells, while a few families had constructed cisterns and used these to collect rainwater. The majority population residing in the capitol, Hagåtña, was dependent upon the water from hand-dug wells that were spaced throughout the city. There were no water delivery systems in place. The very first facilities the Americans constructed were a dam on the Fonte River in 1910, and a six-inch pipe that brought water to a concrete reservoir constructed above Hagåtña. Water from this reservoir was then distributed to the city through main lines. One of these was 1000 feet of two-inch pipe emplaced along Soledad Street that allowed for the first fire hydrants to be put in place. Unfortunately, turbidity was a problem with water from the dam during periods of high rainfall (Brooks 1937a:7).

The Medical Corps soon recommended abandonment of the hand dug wells that had previously been used in Hagåtña, and as an alternative modern water supply was developed, these wells were gradually abandoned and filled in. The net result of this one action was a decrease in the death rate and a consequent increase in population. Population increase understandably precipitated the demand for more water. This became particularly problematic during the dry seasons following construction of the Fonte dam when there was a water shortage.

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography (Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one or more continuation sheets)

Previous documentation on file (NPS): Primary location of additional data:
Historic Resources Survey Number (if assigned): 

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of Property
(Do not include previously listed resource acreage)

UTM References
(Place additional UTM references on a continuation sheet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Easting</th>
<th>Northing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>254075</td>
<td>1489009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>254063</td>
<td>1488977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>254090</td>
<td>1488992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>254081</td>
<td>1408970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Verbal Boundary Description (describe the boundaries of the property)

Boundary Justification (explain why the boundaries were selected)

11. Form Prepared By

name/title  Richard K. Olmo, Staff Archaeologist
organization  Guam State Historic Preservation Office  date  10 June 2009
street & number  490 Chalan Palasoyo  telephone  671/475-6294/5
city or town  Hagåtña Heights  state  Guam  zip code  96910
e-mail  geogarch@uog.uog.edu

Additional Documentation
Submit the following items with the completed form:

- Maps: A USGS map (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.
A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources. Key all photographs to this map.

- Continuation Sheets
- Additional items: (Check with the SHPO or FPO for any additional items)

Photographs:
Submit clear and descriptive black and white photographs. The size of each image must be 1600x1200 pixels at 300 ppi (pixels per inch) or larger. Key all photographs to the sketch map.

Name of Property: Fonte River Dam
City or Vicinity: Asan
County: N/A State: GU
Photographer: John Mark Joseph, State Archaeologist
Date Photographed: August 14, 2010
Description of Photograph(s) and number:
1 of 5 (Figure 1): View of Fonte River Dam Spillway facing Southwest.
2 of 5 (Figure 2): Concrete Dedication Plaque.
3 of 5 (Figure 3): A view of the western wall looking southeast.
4 of 5 (Figure 4): A view of the two valves.
5 of 5 (Figure 5): Spillway and east wall showing the brick work.
9. Major Bibliographical References (Continuation Sheet)

Cox, Leonard M. et al.
1917 The Island of Guam. Washington, Government Printing Office

Guam News Letter
1909-1913 Entries related to the construction of Fonte Dam and the water system for Hagåtña.

Moore, Darlene et al.
2001 Phase II Archaeological Survey: Detailed Recording of Sites on the Lands to be Retained by the Navy, Nimitz Hill, Territory of Guam, Mariana Islands, prepared by Micronesian Archaeological Research Services, Inc. for International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc. and the Department of the Navy, Pacific Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

Yoklavich, Ann, Rebecca Graves, and Joannmarie Orlowski

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Office of Planning and Performance Management, U.S. Dept. of the Interior, 1849 C. Street, NW, Washington, DC.
NAME: FONTE DAM

Nimitz Hill, Guam

REQUESTED BY SA
REQUESTING DEPT ETC DPR GHRD

DEPT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
HISTORIC RESOURCES DIVISION

REQUESTED BY SA
REQUESTING DEPT ETC DPR GHRD
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HISTORIC RESOURCES DIVISION

USGS AGANA QUADRANGLE MAP BASE MAP

N 13 27 31 / E 144 43 43 CENTER LAT/LONG
254079E/1489020N UTM NW
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Figure 1: View of Fonte River Dam Spillway facing Southwest, August 14, 2010.
Figure 2: Concrete Dedication Plaque on the western wall of the Fonte River Dam facing south. The plaque reads: FONTE RIVER DAM / 1910 / WM. H. TAFT / PRESIDENT OF THE US / GEO. VON L. MEYER / SECRETARY OF THE NAVY / CAPT. E. T. DORN, U.S.N. / GOVERNOR OF GUAM / DESIGNED BY L. M. COX CIVIL ENGINEER U. S. (Broken off after S) / ASST. CIVIL ENGINEER R. M. WARFIELD (Broken off... previously noted U.S. before first break) / IN CHARGE OF WORK / COMPLETED BY C. A. BOSTROM / ASST. CIVIL ENGINEER
Figure 3: A view of the western wall of the Fonte River Dam looking south east.
Figure 4: View of the two valves labeled Chapman Valves / C (over) V MFG. CO. / Boston / U. S. A.
Figure 5: Spillway and east wall showing the brick work.
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National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in National Register Bulletin, How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions.

1. Name of Property
Historic name: Sergeant Shoichi Yokoi Collection
Other names/site number: 66-01-2656
Name of related multiple property listing: N/A
(Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing)

2. Location
Street & number: Guam Museum, Department of Chamorro Affairs, 238 Archbishop Flores Street, 2nd Floor, DNA Building
City or town: Hagåtña State: GU County: St
Not For Publication: N/A Vicinity: N/A

3. State/Federal Agency Certification
As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, I hereby certify that this ___ nomination ___ request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property ___ meets ___ does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance:

X national X statewide X local
Applicable National Register Criteria:
X A ___B ___C ___D

Signature of certifying official/Title: Date

State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government

In my opinion, the property ___ meets ___ does not meet the National Register criteria.

Signature of commenting official: Date

Title: State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government
4. National Park Service Certification

I hereby certify that this property is:

___ entered in the National Register
___ determined eligible for the National Register
___ determined not eligible for the National Register
___ removed from the National Register
___ other (explain:)

5. Classification

Ownership of Property

(Check as many boxes as apply.)

Private:

Public - Local

Public - State [x]

Public - Federal

Category of Property

(Check only one box.)

Building(s)

District

Site

Structure

Object [x]
Sergeant Snoichi Yokoi Collection

Number of Resources within Property
(Do not include previously listed resources in the count)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing</th>
<th>Noncontributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register

6. Function or Use
Historic Functions
(Enter categories from instructions.)

DOMESTIC/camp = temporary habitation site
DEFENSE/fortification = bunker

Current Functions
(Enter categories from instructions.)
Recreation and Culture/museum = museum

Sections 1-6 page 3
7. Description

Architectural Classification
(Enter categories from instructions.)

Materials: (enter categories from instructions.)
Principal exterior materials of the property: ______ other: metal, wood, fiber_______

Narrative Description
(Describe the historic and current physical appearance and condition of the property. Describe contributing and noncontributing resources if applicable. Begin with a summary paragraph that briefly describes the general characteristics of the property, such as its location, type, style, method of construction, setting, size, and significant features. Indicate whether the property has historic integrity.)

Summary Paragraph

The collection of artifacts used by Sergeant Shoichi Yokoi, the last Japanese straggler in Guam, represents the main set of vestiges of his 28 years history of survival and endurance. The set is comprised of approximately forty pieces of artifacts, varying from clothes, tools, guns and ammunition. The collection is currently housed in the Guam Museum, retaining its integrity in materials and workmanship. Some items show corrosion and smoke blackening, as a result of their use for over 28 years.

Some of the items were handcrafted, like the loom he made to weave clothes. Yokoi, a tailor’s apprentice before the war, used fibers of local plants such as the Págo tree to make trousers, shirts, etc. He also made sandals, sewing implements, and buttons, including items of the
simplest commodities for daily use, such as utensils, canteens, etc., which he either kept with him since before hiding, or obtained from surrounding properties. The set of artifacts offers contemporary visitors tangible testimonies of the hardships of the living conditions and struggle to survive endured by Sergeant Yokoi. The Collection also serves as a complement to Yokoi’s Cave, located in Talofofo, Guam, in which Sergeant Yokoi lived out his 28 years, from the time Guam was recaptured by the United States July 21, 1944, to the time he was discovered in 1972. Yokoi’s Cave is visited every year by thousands of tourists. Yokoi’s Cave has been listed in the National Register since January 16, 1980 (RefNum 80004244)

Narrative Description

Sgt. Yokoi arrived on Guam on February 1943, assigned to the 38th Regiment of the Japanese Imperial Army. He was in the Fena mountain area by July 21, when the first U.S. troops landed on Guam. After the Japanese defenses were destroyed, Yokoi and nine other companions managed to march towards Talofofo, where they hid in the mountains.

Japanese stragglers were, by then, so numerous, that a group refused to host Yokoi (Higuchi, 2013:230). Eventually he and other two companions dug a man-made cave. For the next 28 years he survived by feeding on rats, frogs, snails, shrimps, coconuts, and fruits from the area, such as breadfruits, mangoes and papayas. By 1952 he learned that the war was over through a leaflet that the US forces had scattered throughout remote areas of Guam.

Two other Japanese stragglers in Guam, Private Bunzo Minagawa, and his superior Sergeant Masashi Itō, had surrendered on May, 1960. The other two companions of Yokoi, according to his testimony, died of starvation sometime around 1964. In late January, 1972, the search party of the Guam Police, that was exploring Yokoi’s cave, found their bones in a nearby tunnel.

Sections 9-end page 5
Yokoi was discovered in a tributary of the Talofofo River in January 24, 1972, by local residents Jesus M. Dueñas and Manuel T. DeGracia. His story immediately raised international attention, particularly in Japan, where he was regarded as a hero.

Yokoi declared to have known that the war was over since 1952 (Walthall, 2002:212), but he was afraid of surrendering. In fact, upon being found by DeGracia and Dueñas, his first reaction was to charge against them.

Information in need of further evaluation, is the unverified belief that some disappearances and deaths that took place in the same area in the years prior to 1972, could be attributed to Yokoi. He died in 1997, and, as for 2013, these accusations remain unconfirmed.

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria
(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

☐  A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.

☐  B. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.

☐  C. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.

☐  D. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations
(Mark “x” in all the boxes that apply.)

☐  A. Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.
Sergeant Shoichi Yokoi Collection
Name of Property

☐ B. Removed from its original location.
☐ C. A birthplace or grave.
☐ D. A cemetery.
☐ E. A reconstructed building, object, or structure.
☐ F. A commemorative property.
☐ G. Less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years.

Areas of Significance
(Enter categories from instructions.)

Invention
Other: Survival Techniques

Period of Significance
1944-1972

Significant Dates
July 24, 1972

Significant Person
(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above.)

Cultural Affiliation
N/A
Statement of Significance Summary Paragraph (Provide a summary paragraph that includes level of significance, applicable criteria, justification for the period of significance, and any applicable criteria considerations.)

The collection of artifacts of Sgt. Yokoi, last active Japanese soldier in U.S. Territory, after the end of World War II, is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) at the national, state and local levels.

The period of significance covers the period from July 21, 1944, when Guam was liberated from Japanese Military rule, to the end of World War II, and up to 1972, when he was discovered and thereby surrendering.

The Collection provides valuable insights of his life in Guam throughout the 28 years of his hideout, the hardships he went through, and his ingenuity in solving the needs of daily life using limited resources that he could obtain in the area surrounding the cave he constructed as his refuge.

The artifacts of Yokoi are a tangible indication, three decades after the end of the hostilities, of the extreme difficulties that the people of Guam and the U.S. troops faced against the suicidal determination of Japanese soldiers, who took to the extreme, their loyalty to an Emperor of quasi-divine status.

Narrative Statement of Significance (Provide at least one paragraph for each area of significance.)

After the surrender of Japan, on August 15, 1945, several Japanese soldiers on Guam and other isolated areas of the Pacific, either considered the news about the end of the war as American propaganda, or refused to surrender due to fear of reprisal.

Before Yokoi, in Anatahan, one of the Northern Mariana Islands, a group of Japanese holdouts was rescued in 1951. Two other Japanese stragglers were found in Guam long after the end of the war, Sergeant Masashi Ito, and Private Bunzō Minagawa, who surrendered in late May, 1960. Other two companions of Yokoi, of the original group of ten, survived in hiding until their death, which must had happened sometime in 1964, following Yokoi’s testimony. Their bones were
found by a search team of the Guam Police, and eventually repatriated in the same flight that took Yokoi back to Japan on February 2, 1972. Yokoi was the last of the Japanese holdouts in Guam, and one of the last in the world.

For the people of Guam and island visitors, as well as for military historians and survivalist, the story of the last Japanese straggler in Guam raises curiosity and interest. The fact that Yokoi managed to stay alive and reasonably sane for such period of time, in such difficult circumstances, are indicative of his resolution and strength.

9. Major Bibliographical References

Bibliography (Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form.)
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