

I Mina'trentai Sais Na Liheslaturan Guåhan
BILL STATUS

BILL NO.	SPONSOR	TITLE	DATE INTRODUCED	DATE REFERRED	CMTE REFERRED	PUBLIC HEARING DATE	DATE COMMITTEE REPORT FILED	FISCAL NOTES	NOTES
161-36 (LS)	Committee on Air Transportation, Parks, Tourism, Higher Education, and the Advancement of Women, Youth and Senior Citizens by request of I Kongressun Manhoben Guåhan, the Guam Youth Congress, in accordance with 2 GCA § 7102.	AN ACT TO ADD NEW §§ 12122 AND 12123 TO CHAPTER 12, TITLE 17, GUAM CODE ANNOTATED, RELATIVE TO REQUIRING THAT ALL TEACHERS EMPLOYED AT GUAM CHARTER SCHOOLS BE CERTIFIED BY THE GUAM COMMISSION ON EDUCATOR CERTIFICATION, AND TO ESTABLISHING EXEMPTIONS FOR THE SAME.	7/1/21 8:35 a.m.						

I MINA'TRENTAI SAIS NA LIHESLATURAN GUÅHAN
2021 (FIRST) Regular Session

Bill No. 161-36 (LS)

Introduced by:

Committee on Air Transportation,
Parks, Tourism, Higher Education, and
the Advancement of Women, Youth
and Senior Citizens *Ameltz*

by request of *I Kongressun Manhoben*
Guåhan, the Guam Youth Congress, in
accordance with 2 GCA § 7102.

**AN ACT TO *ADD* NEW §§ 12122 AND 12123 TO
CHAPTER 12, TITLE 17, GUAM CODE ANNOTATED,
RELATIVE TO REQUIRING THAT ALL TEACHERS
EMPLOYED AT GUAM CHARTER SCHOOLS BE
CERTIFIED BY THE GUAM COMMISSION ON
EDUCATOR CERTIFICATION, AND TO
ESTABLISHING EXEMPTIONS FOR THE SAME.**

1 **BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF GUAM:**

2 **Section 1. Legislative Findings and Intent.** *I Liheslaturan Guåhan* finds
3 that Charter Schools on Guam are not required to hire teachers that are certified by
4 the Guam Commission for Educator Certification. Teachers are proven to make
5 difference in the lives of students and make an impact on student achievement. The
6 National Commission on Teaching and America's Future (NCTAF, 1996) and other
7 national groups, such as the Education Trust (1998), earlier reached similar
8 conclusions based on research that tracked the academic achievement of individual
9 students over long time periods have shown that well-qualified teachers and high-

1 quality teaching can close the achievement gap between economically
2 disadvantaged students and their more affluent peers.

3 *I Liheslatura* further finds that in a large survey, David Haselkorn and Louis
4 Harris (1998) reported that “roughly nine out of ten Americans believe the best way
5 to lift student achievement is to ensure a qualified teacher in every classroom.” This
6 survey revealed, in addition, a strong belief by the public that prospective teachers
7 need special training and skills, not simply a good general education. Conclusion
8 that well-prepared teachers and high-quality teaching matter. It also is important to
9 document and understand what specific characteristics of teachers, and the school
10 settings in which they work, contribute to successful student outcomes.

11 *I Liheslatura* further finds that in a 1991 study, Ronald F. Ferguson examined
12 student scores on standardized tests in reading and mathematics, teacher
13 qualifications, and class size in 900 out of 1,000 school districts in Texas. The
14 teacher qualifications examined in each district included teacher performance on the
15 Texas state teacher examinations, years of teaching experience, and teachers’
16 acquisition of advanced (master’s) degrees. Ferguson (1991) found that the
17 following teacher qualifications, listed in order from most to least important, had
18 statistically significant effects on student scores: teacher language scores on the state
19 examination, class size, years of teaching experience, and the earning of an advanced
20 degree. According to a review of the study conducted by the National Center for
21 Education Statistics (cited in Sparks and Hirsh, 2000), teacher expertise, as Ferguson
22 had defined it, explained 40 percent of the variance in the students’ achievement in
23 reading and mathematics.

24 *I Liheslatura* further finds that in a meta-analysis of previous work, Cynthia
25 Ann Druva and Ronald D. Anderson (1983) uncovered a number of important and
26 statistically significant positive correlations that shed light on the variable of teacher

1 quality in science instruction. Teaching background, teacher behavior in the
2 classroom, and student outcomes were examined. Findings included that teachers
3 with greater content knowledge in a given subject and those with more teaching
4 experience were more likely to ask higher level, cognitively based questions.
5 Teachers with more content knowledge also had a greater orientation toward seeking
6 information from students through questioning and discussion in their teaching
7 compared to teachers with less content knowledge. This was particularly significant
8 in the case of biology teachers. Students' ability to understand the essentials of the
9 scientific method was positively correlated with the number of science courses (both
10 in biology and in other science disciplines) that their teachers had taken. The degree
11 to which students reported that they "liked science" correlated positively with the
12 number of science courses taken by the teachers.

13 *Liheslatura* further finds that in 1989, G.W. McDiarmid *et al.* concluded, on
14 the basis of research extant at the time, that teachers' subject matter understanding
15 and their pedagogical orientations and decisions critically influence the quality of
16 their teaching. "Teachers' capacity to pose questions, select tasks, evaluate their
17 pupil's understanding, and to make curricular decisions all depend on how they
18 themselves understand the subject matter." And in 1995, Chaney demonstrated a
19 relationship between middle-school science and mathematics teachers' professional
20 preparation and student performance. These consistently positive correlations appear
21 to support the importance of high levels of preparation for teachers in both content
22 and pedagogy. This preparation and subsequent teaching experience also appear to
23 enhance student achievement.

24 *Liheslatura* further finds that P. Hawk *et al.* (1985) conducted a specific
25 study of the relationship between teachers' certification in mathematics and their
26 teaching effectiveness. Two groups, each of 18 teachers who had taught at least one

1 course in mathematics in grades 6-12, participated in the seven-month study. One
2 group consisted of teachers who held either subject area certification or endorsement
3 in mathematics (“in-field teachers”), and the other group consisted of teachers who
4 lacked these credentials (“out-of-field teachers”). Both groups of teachers taught the
5 same mathematics course in the same school to students of the same general ability.
6 Pretest scores of students across the different groups did not differ significantly from
7 each other. Researchers proceeded to examine comparative teacher effectiveness by
8 looking at student achievement, teacher professional skills, and teacher knowledge
9 of the subject field.

10 *I Liheslatura* further finds that students taught by in-field teachers scored
11 significantly higher on general mathematics ($p < .001$) and algebra ($p < .01$) tests than
12 did students taught by out-of-field teachers. In-field teachers scored significantly
13 higher ($p < .001$) on the test of teachers’ subject matter knowledge than did out-of-
14 field teachers. In-field teachers also scored significantly higher ($p < .001$) on the
15 Carolina Teacher Performance Assessment System than did their out-of-field
16 counterparts. No significant differences were observed between the two groups
17 based on years of teaching experience, years of experience teaching mathematics, or
18 level of degree earned. Overall, in-field mathematics teachers knew more
19 mathematics and showed evidence of using more effective teaching practices than
20 did their out-of-field counterparts. Hawk et al. (1985) concluded that certification
21 requirements are an effective mechanism to assure higher student achievement in
22 mathematics.

23 *I Liheslatura* further finds that Guam being a “Melting Pot” of cultural
24 diversity, is essential to have teachers be certified. With Academy Charter Schools
25 being funded by the Government of Guam despite of it being independent, it is the
26 duty of the government of Guam to provide a “high quality” of Education to the

1 students, and the future generations of our island people. With the cultural diversity
2 in Guam, Teachers should be quailed, or be trained to teach a classroom with
3 multiple diversity, and to adjust for the students that are culturally shocked due to
4 relocation to Guam; and for the students that has a language barrier or English not
5 being their first language. The Guam Department of Education (GDOE), only hire
6 teachers that are certified by the Guam Commission for Educator Certification
7 (GCEC).

8 Therefore, it is the intent of *I Liheslaturan Guåhan* to add a new § 12122 of
9 Chapter 12, Title 17, Guam Code Annotated that would make the Academy Charter
10 Schools on Guam to accept teachers that are certified by the Guam Commission for
11 Educator Certification (GCEC); and to allow them to hire a Non-Certified Educator,
12 but must be certified by the GCEC in a span of five (5) years or be terminated; and
13 to provide a training ground for aspiring future educators of our island.

14 **Section 2.** A new § 12122 is hereby *added* to Chapter 12, Title 17, Guam
15 Code Annotated, to read:

16 **“§ 12122. Teacher Employment in Charter Schools.**

17 All approved Academy Charter Schools that are funded by the
18 government of Guam must hire teachers that are certified by the Guam
19 Commission for Educator Certification (GCEC) as stated in Chapter 8, Title
20 5A, Guam Administrative Rules and Regulations.”

21 **Section 3.** A new § 12123 is hereby *added* to Chapter 12, Title 17, Guam
22 Code Annotated, to read:

23 **“§ 12123. Exemptions and Provisions.**

24 (a) An Academy Charter School may hire a teacher not certified by
25 the Guam Commission for Educator Certification, with the approval by the

1 Guam Academy Charter Schools Council, due to any of the following
2 circumstances:

3 (1) an Applicant pursuing a degree in Education, and

4 (2) a shortage of Teachers for an academic year.

5 (b) Academy Charter School must have a signed contract with the
6 hired Non-Certified Educator containing the following provisions:

7 (1) employment is provisional;

8 (2) the Non-Certified Educator must take necessary action at
9 their own expense to get a Teaching Certification and be certified Guam
10 Commission for Educator Certification in a span of three (3) years; and

11 (3) failure to meet the following clauses would cause to
12 termination of Employment.

13 (c) An Academy Charter School must not hire any Non-Certified
14 Educator, who was terminated due to failure to meet the provisions of §
15 12123(b)(2).

16 (d) A hired Non-Certified Educator who are pursuing an Education
17 Degree must provide the following to the Academy Charter School:

18 (1) Submit a Letter of Verification that the Non-Certified
19 educator is enrolled and pursuing a degree in Education in every start
20 of an academic year;

21 (2) Submit an official transcript from their academic
22 institution at the end of every college semester; and

23 (3) Failure to submit a letter of verification every year or
24 failure to submit an official transcript every semester may result for
25 termination of employment.”

26 **Section 4. Effective Date.** This Act *shall* become effective upon enactment.